

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,296	HOWARTH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brenda C. Harvey.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 8-28-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 4 paragraph [0009] to page 6 paragraph [0016] of the specification.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)